

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

**DEBTOR'S THIRTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN  
CLAIMS**

**(Insufficient Documentation)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO MODIFY, DISALLOW AND/OR EXPUNGE  
CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS  
OBJECTION SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND  
LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND CLAIMS ON THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED  
TO THIS OBJECTION.**

The Debtor, the City of Detroit ("City"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this objection ("Objection") requesting that the Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 1, disallowing and expunging each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 because each such claim does not include sufficient documentation to ascertain its validity. In support of this Objection, the City respectfully states as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII, Section A of the Plan (defined below). This is a

core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

### **BACKGROUND FACTS**

2. On July 18, 2013 (“Petition Date”), the City filed a petition for relief in this Court, thereby commencing the largest Chapter 9 bankruptcy case in history.

3. On November 21, 2013, this Court issued its *Order, Pursuant to Sections 105, 501, and 503 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3003(c), Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof* [Doc. No. 1782] (“Bar Date Order”), establishing deadlines to file certain proofs of claim in this case. The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file proofs of claim as February 21, 2014 at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time (“Bar Date”).

4. On December 5, 2013, this Court held that the City was eligible for relief under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code. *See Order for Relief Under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code*. [Doc. No. 1946].

5. On July 9, 2014, this Court entered its *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 Approving Claim Objection Procedures* [Doc. No. 5872] (“Claims Procedures Order”), allowing the City to file omnibus

objections with respect to claims that do not include sufficient documentation to ascertain the validity of such claim. (Claim Procedures Order at 2.)

6. On October 22, 2014, the City filed the *Eight Amended Plan of the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (October 22, 2014)* [Doc. No. 8045] (“Plan”).

7. On November 12, 2014, this Court entered an Order confirming the Plan [Doc. No. 8272] (“Confirmation Order”).

8. The Plan became effective on December 10, 2014 (“Effective Date”).

9. Each of the Claims listed on Exhibit 2 should be disallowed and expunged because it fails to include sufficient documentation to ascertain its validity.

### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

10. The City files this Objection pursuant to the Bar Date Order, Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code,<sup>1</sup> Rule 3007(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedures (“Bankruptcy Rules”), and the Claims Procedures Order, seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 because each claim does not include sufficient documentation to ascertain its validity.

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code applies to Chapter 9 proceedings pursuant to Section 901(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

11. To the extent the Court does not expunge one or more of the claims identified on Exhibit 2, the City reserves all of its rights to object, on any basis, to any of the claims identified on Exhibit 2.

### **BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED**

12. The City has reviewed the claims identified on Exhibit 2 and submits that in each case the claim does not include sufficient documentation to ascertain its validity.

13. The Declaration of Charles Raimi, Deputy Corporation Counsel, (the “Declaration”) explains the process undertaken by the City and confirms that the claims identified on Exhibit 2 do not include sufficient documentation to ascertain their validity. *See Declaration of Charles Raimi*, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

14. The Claims Procedures Order and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) allow the City to file this Objection as an omnibus objection. Specifically, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) authorizes the Court to allow for omnibus objections beyond those circumstances itemized in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d), and the Claims Procedures Order expressly permits the City to file omnibus objections with respect to claims that do not contain sufficient documentation to ascertain their validity. (Claims Procedures Order at 2.).

15. This Court has the authority to enter an order approving this Objection. Moreover, the streamlined process afforded by an omnibus objection

(in lieu of individual objections to each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2) will result in material costs savings that will inure to the benefit of the City. Accordingly, the City believes that the relief sought by this Objection is in the best interests of the City and its creditors.

16. Based upon the foregoing, the City seeks entry of an order, substantially in the form annexed as Exhibit 1, expunging and disallowing each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2. Accordingly, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c), the Court should grant the relief requested.

### **SEPARATE CONTESTED MATTERS**

17. To the extent that a response is filed regarding any claim listed in this Objection and the City is unable to resolve the response, each one of those claims, and the objection by the City to each one of those claims asserted, should constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. Any order entered by the Court regarding an objection asserted in this Objection should be deemed a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, should constitute a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court should expressly determine that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim.

18. The City files this Objection without prejudice to or waiver of its rights pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, and nothing herein is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

### **NOTICE**

19. The City has provided notice of this Objection to each of the claimants identified on Exhibit 2 at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim, and all other parties who have requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. Given the nature of the relief requested, the City respectfully submits that no other or further notice of this Objection need be given.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully requests that this Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 1, granting the relief requested herein and granting the City such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: March 10, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Jonathan S. Green (P33140)

Marc N. Swanson (P71149)

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND  
STONE, P.L.C.

150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 963-6420

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

green@millercanfield.com

swansonm@millercanfield.com

and

Charles N. Raimi (P29746)

Deputy Corporation Counsel

City of Detroit Law Department

2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500

Coleman A. Young Municipal Center

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313)-237-0470

Facsimile: (313) 224-5505

raimic@detroitmi.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

**NOTICE OF DEBTOR'S THIRTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO  
CERTAIN CLAIMS**

**(Insufficient Documentation)**

**PLEASE CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND THE  
ATTACHMENTS HERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS  
OBJECTION AFFECTS YOUR CLAIMS(S).**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT** the City of Detroit (“City”) has filed an objection to your claim because it does not contain sufficient documentation to ascertain its validity (“Thirty-Seventh Omnibus Objection”) and, therefore, should be disallowed and expunged.

**YOUR CLAIM MAY BE REDUCED, MODIFIED OR ELIMINATED  
PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007(e)(1) AND PRIOR ORDERS OF  
THIS COURT. YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW EXHIBIT 2 OF  
THE THIRTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO FIND YOUR  
NAME AND CLAIM. YOU SHOULD READ THESE PAPERS**



**CAREFULLY AND DISCUSS THEM WITH YOUR ATTORNEY, IF YOU  
HAVE ONE.**

If you do not want the court to eliminate or change your claim, or grant the relief requested in the Thirty-Seventh Omnibus Objection, then on or before **April 6, 2016**, you or your lawyer must:

1. File with the court, at the address below, a written response to the objection. Unless a written response is filed and served by the date stated above, the court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim.

Clerk of the Court  
United States Bankruptcy Court  
211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2100  
Detroit, MI 48226

If you mail your response to the Court for filing, you must mail it early enough so that the Court will **receive** it on or before the date stated above. All attorneys are required to file pleadings electronically.

2. A copy of your response must also be mailed to counsel for the City:

Marc N. Swanson  
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC  
150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500  
Detroit, MI 48226

3. You must also attend the hearing on the objection scheduled to be held on **April 13, 2016, at 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1925, 211 W. Fort Street, Detroit, MI 48226** unless your attendance is excused by mutual agreement between yourself and the objector's attorney.

**If you or your attorney does not take these steps, the court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim, in which event the hearing will be cancelled and the objection sustained.**

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Marc N. Swanson (P71149)  
150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
Telephone: (313) 963-6420  
Facsimile: (313) 496-8451  
swansonm@millercanfield.com

Dated: March 10, 2016

## **EXHIBIT 1: PROPOSED ORDER**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

**ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S THIRTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS  
OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS**

**(Insufficient Documentation)**

Upon review of the thirty-seventh objection to claims (the “Objection”),<sup>2</sup> of the Debtor, City of Detroit, Michigan (the “City”), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims listed on Exhibit 2 to the Objection; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII of the Plan; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Objection in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the City, and its creditors; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been given as provided in the Objection; and it appearing that no other or further notice of the Objection need be

---

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

given; and a hearing on the Objection having been held before the Court; and any objections or other responses to the Objection having been overruled or withdrawn; and the Court finding that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and at the hearing establish just cause for the relief granted; and after due deliberation and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Objection is sustained to the extent provided in this Order.
2. Each of the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit 2 annexed to the Objection is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety, pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.
3. The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.
4. The City is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Objection.
5. Each claim and the objections by the City to each claim as addressed in the Objection and set forth on Exhibit 2 constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order shall be deemed and constitute a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, constitutes a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court expressly determines that there is no just

reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim. Any stay of this Order shall apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and for which such stay has been granted or may be in effect, and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby, and further provided that the City shall have the right, but not the need, to submit a separate order with respect to contested matters or claims.

6. The City retains all of its rights to object, on any other basis, to any of the Claims identified on Exhibit 2.

7. Notice of the Objection as provided therein is good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) and the local rules of the Court are satisfied by such notice.

8. Nothing in this Order is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

## **EXHIBIT 2: CLAIMS**

The ground for objection to each claim listed below is that the claim does not contain sufficient documentation to ascertain its validity. Each of the pages in the omnibus objection is pertinent to the stated ground for objection.

<b>Claim Number</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Claim Amount</b>	<b>Nature</b>
3356	Ballard, William	\$23,000.00	General Unsecured
3371	Blaney, Walter	\$3,710.00	General Unsecured
2911	Danforth, Tara	\$27,665.46	General Unsecured
2887	Daniel, Shondell	\$20,492.00	General Unsecured
3457	Davenport, Terry	\$25,000.00	General Unsecured
3233	Flennoy, Winston L. II	\$1,200.00	General Unsecured
3285	Ford, Valerie	\$17,228.00	General Unsecured
1961	Ganzie, Tanya	\$4,914.16	General Unsecured
2845	Globe, Sheila	\$15,360.00	General Unsecured
1694	Greene, Sondra	\$1,000,000.00	General Unsecured
2840	Grice, Tracey	\$300.00	General Unsecured
3205	Haliburton, Terrell	\$500,000.00	General Unsecured
1958	Hall, Theresa	\$4,945.00	General Unsecured
3344	Holloway, Takeisha	\$20,000.00	General Unsecured
3281	Holmes, Rodney	\$25,000.00	General Unsecured
2250	Hopkins, Robin	\$4,549.28	General Unsecured
2910	Hyler, Tonya	\$10,282.75	General Unsecured
2838	Johnson, Sandra	\$300.00	General Unsecured
3127	Johnson, Yolaundra	\$21,625.50	General Unsecured
3122	McCrary, Sarah	\$27,686.00	General Unsecured



2998	McCullom, Rita	\$450.00	General Unsecured
3262	McGhee, Susan	\$20,000.00	General Unsecured
3274	McGhee, Susan	\$2,500.00	General Unsecured
3367	Nelson, Serena	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
3141	Nixon, Rory	\$450.00	General Unsecured
2979	Oliver, Robert	\$62,000.00	General Unsecured
2763	Person, Sherida	\$18,497.00	General Unsecured
3336	Richardson, Teulaina	UNLIQUIDATED	Secured
3447	Riley, Wanda	\$21,013.00	General Unsecured
2916	Slack, Teresa	\$15,000.00	General Unsecured
3438	Branam, Ronald Sr	\$450.00	General Unsecured
3490	Stewart, Glenn	\$40,000.00	General Unsecured
2006	Stewart, Tory	\$4,000.00	General Unsecured
2974	Sutton, Audrey	\$450.00	General Unsecured
2734	Taubitz, Dennis M	\$127,800.00	Secured
2041	Taylor, Tamara	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
3235	Thornton, Sonya	\$400.00	General Unsecured
1305	Walker, Willie	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
1165	Wardlaw, Vernard	\$14,943.00	General Unsecured
3481	Williams, Victor	\$31,272.16	General Unsecured
3340	Wooten, Walter	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2867	Wyche, Yolanda	\$2,000.00	General Unsecured

**EXHIBIT 3: DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,  
Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

**DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI IN SUPPORT OF DEBTOR'S  
THIRTY-SEVENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS**

**(Insufficient Documentation)**

I, Charles Raimi, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:

1. I am Deputy Corporation Counsel for the City of Detroit ("City"). Unless otherwise stated in this Declaration, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.

2. The City's ongoing claims reconciliation process involves the collective effort of a team of employees assembled from personnel specifically familiar with the operations and liabilities of the City. This team works together and in conjunction with City's counsel, the City's financial advisor, and the City's claims agent, to review proofs of claim filed against the City.

3. In connection with the preparation of the Thirty-Seventh Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (Insufficient Documentation) (the "Thirty-Seventh Omnibus Objection"), the City reviewed the claims at issue, as described on Exhibit 2 of the Thirty-Seventh Omnibus Objection.

4. The City believes that each claim should be disallowed and expunged because each such claim does not include sufficient documentation to ascertain its validity.

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: 3/9, 2016

By: /s/   
Charles Raimi

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,  
Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on March 10, 2016, he electronically filed the foregoing Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (the “Omnibus Objection”) with the Clerk of the Court which sends notice by operation of the court’s electronic filing service to all ECF participants registered to receive notice in this case. The City has engaged a Noticing Agent, which will serve the Omnibus Objection on the Claimants listed therein at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim and on all parties requesting notices listed on the Master Service List, and file a subsequent Proof of Service after it has performed the service.

DATED: March 10, 2016

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Marc N. Swanson

150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 496-7591

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

swansonm@millercanfield.com